



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,422	11/25/2003	David William Trepess	282531US8X	2677
22850	7590	03/04/2009		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				EXAMINER
1940 DUKE STREET				COLAN, GIOVANNA B
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER
				2162
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/04/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/723,422	Applicant(s) TREPESI ET AL.
	Examiner GIOVANNA COLAN	Art Unit 2162

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: _____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/John Breene/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2162

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

1. With respect to applicant's arguments regarding "the objection to the specification" and "101 rejections", the examiner submits that applicant has not provided antecedent basis for the term "computer readable medium". For example, the specification does not define the terminology "computer readable medium" as a hardware device. For details regarding 101 rejections, see Final Office Action dated 11/14/2008, Page 2 and 3.
2. Applicant argues that the applied art fails to disclose; "a detector for detecting those positions within the self-organizing map corresponding to the information items selected by the standard keyword search technique, and a graphical user interface for displaying display points representing those positions within the self-organizing map corresponding to the selected information items". Examiner respectfully disagrees. The applied art does disclose: a detector for detecting those positions within the self-organizing map corresponding to the information items selected by the standard keyword search technique (Page 139, section 3, "the user could request the system to extract the key concepts from these pages using Arizona Noun Phaser and categorize the Web pages into 2-D topic map using SOM", and Page 140, section 3.4: "Post-retrieval Analysis", 3rd paragraph, "SOM employs an artificial neural network algorithm to cluster the Web pages collected into different regions on a 2-D map automatically. In SOM, each document is represented as an input vector of keywords and a two-dimensional grid of output nodes are created", Chau); and a graphical user interface for displaying display points representing those positions within the self-organizing map corresponding to the selected information items (Page 139, 1st paragraph under section 3.1, and Page 140, 3r~ paragraph under section 3.3, Chau).
3. Applicant argues that the applied art fails to disclose; "wherein the processor is responsive to the selected information items and displays one or more image obtained from the image data included in the selected information items defined by the search criterion so as to represent the content of the selected information items". Examiner respectfully disagrees. The applied art does disclose: wherein the processor is responsive to the selected information items and displays one or more image obtained from the image data included in the selected information items defined by the search criterion so as to represent the content of the selected information items (Page 140, 3rd paragraph under section 3.3, and Page 138, Fig. 2, item 5. "Search results are categorized into subtopics...", and Fig. 4, item 4. "Web pages categorized as 2-Dtopic map", Chau).